

I.	Frequently Asked Questions:	1
	Q1. If I have questions about this funding announcement, who do I contact?	1
	Q2. How will I receive a response to questions submitted to ARPA-E-co@hq.doe.gov about this	FOA? 1
	Q3. Will ARPA-E post a response to every question submitted to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov?	1
	Q4. If I have questions about ARPA-E exchange, who do I contact?	1
	Q5. Can I speak or meet with the ARPA-E program director or other ARPA-E personnel about th opportunity announcement?	
	Q6. Can a person be PI on one proposal and a co-PI on a second separate proposal?	1
	Q7. May applicants submit more than one concept paper to this funding opportunity?	1
	Q8. I have developed a technology that may be a good fit for this funding opportunity. Will ARPA review the attached project information and let me know if I should make a submission to this fundopportunity	ding
Ш	Questions for week ending: February 22, 2013	2
	Q9. Who will be the ARPA-E program manager for RANGE?	2
	Q10. I have a project that addresses both of ARPA-E's two mission areas, but does not correlate with the FOA's stated first and second objectives. Could a seedling project that supports ARPA-E statement be funded, even if it is not strongly correlated to the stated objectives of this particular I	E's mission
	Q11. The range funding opportunity is directed toward the development of a propulsion systembattery source. It does, however limit the response to electric vehiclesand omits the opportunity propulsion methods	for other
	A. If I tried to respond to this funding opportunity with a concept document for a propulsion system to be considered?	
	B. I would very much like to discuss this propulsion system in more detail with you if you can su way that can be done	
	Q12. Our general question is whether ARPA-E has a mechanism or forum or contact list through company could make contact with potential bidders to this solicitation with the hope of finding and innovative technology that could further benefit by being married with our technology?	other
	Q13. If we were to submit a Concept Paper, would this Concept Paper be shared with others respect the solicitation creating the potential for future teaming?	
	Q14. I read with great interest ARPA-E's new FOA, "RANGE". I am writing this to have your feed some of our ideas around F-Ion and MG-Ion batteries to address the topic of this FOA	
Ш	Questions for week ending: March 1, 2013	4
	Q15. In regards to funding opportunity no. DE-FOA-0000869, Robust Affordable Next Generation Storage, could you please take into consideration the following scope of work: with reliability and needs within the DOE, ARPA-E, and for future global vehicle consumers & manufacturers, please to expand your current scope of work for research, development, demonstration and deployment affordable next generation EV storage listed in DE-FOA-0000869 to include off-road vehicles and trucks.	durability consider of robust I vocational



	Q16. My team and I would like to submit an application for ARI energy storage device. Would this type of technology meet the	• • •
	Q17. On page 29 the FOA states: " the prime recipient must as cost share, except as provided in sections III.b.2 or III.b.3 be apply, is it acceptable for subtier project team members (i.e. No the cost share, or must the entire 20% come from the prime rec	elow." Assuming the listed exceptions do not of the prime recipient) to provide part or all of
	Q18. Regarding the RANGE FOA, is it possible to still add an	•
I۱	IV. Questions for week ending: March 8, 2013	5
	Q19. Can you please respond to the following questions?	5
	A. Are fuel cells acceptable architectural elements?	5
	B. For example if hydrogen for the fuel cells comes from electrically rechargeable fuel cell" per page 13 of the FOA?	• •
	C. Electrical double-layer capacitors (ultra-caps) are not ment safety properties sought. If used as part of an architecture are	
	D. Is a prototype expected?	
٧	V. Questions for week ending: March 15, 2013	5
	Q20. I have an energy storage project that stores electromech electrochemical storage. Can I utilize this funding opportunity?	
	Q21. Would ARPA-E be interested in ******? Compared to Li-ic have much higher energy density but there is still a long way to	
	Q22. We are preparing a Concept Paper for the RANGE FOA. number, we would appreciate to know what number is this box 0000869)? Or an institution related number.	referring to. Is it the FOA no (DE-FOA-
	Q23. I am writing this to have your feedback on some of our id PI. advice, if these topics and our strategies are of interest	eas around demonstrating ********************6
	Q24. Is the ARPA-E RANGE program accepting applications for possibly transformational technology in the area of energy storage.	or performing the proof-of-concept work for a age, like ************************************
	Q25. I want to edit and correct typos in our entry in the range t	
	Please let me know how this can be done	7
	Q26. Is there a comprehensive checklist that we can follow to	submit a Concept Paper?7
	Q27. Do the prime recipient's team members need to be ident of March 21?	
	Q28. Can new team members be added between the Concept deadline?	
	Q29. In the FOA, it was mentioned that robust design archited 1. We have developed an energy storage system **** would the	ctures are included in the scope of Category is approach be considered within category 1?
	O30 I had the following guestions regarding the ARPA-F RAN	



Q30.A. How important are the team partners involved in the project?	8
Q30.B. What TRL needs to be the project?	8
Q30.C. Can the concept paper include personnel and financial data?	8
Q30.D In what funding categories can my project **** be awarded in: Proof-of-Concept Seedling Project Technology Development Project?	ct or 8
Q31. We are not sure what you are looking for relative to the funds and costs tab below. While we understand that a 20% cost share is required for the full application, our understanding was that a spec budget number was not needed for the concept paper submission. The ARPA-E exchange tool is not allowing us to submit our concept paper without populating the specific amount of our project contribution Any guidance you can provide is appreciated.	ion.
Q32. How may I request a reduced cost share in ARPA-E eXCHANGE?	8
VI. Questions for week ending: April 26, 2013	9
Q33. I am wondering if you can provide us with comments from individual reviewers on our Concept Pa	
Q34. On page 20 of the FOA (Mod. 1) it states "Proof-of-Concept seedlings are projects which both rate between \$250,000 and \$1,000,000 and have a period of performance of no more than a year." Are the dollar numbers meant to refer to the ARPA-E award amount or the total project cost (i.e. Award + Cost Share)?	ese
Q35. We missed the concept paper submission deadline. Can we still submit a concept paper for consideration?	9
VII. Questions for week ending: May 3, 2013	9
Q36.A. There is a 20 page limit on R&D strategy. Does this limit include text, figures and references? list references in appendices to the R&D strategy section or to the complete proposal instead?	
Q36.B. The required documents checklist on P.1 states "Statement of Project Objectives (5 pages max") Whereas on P.38 is says "1 page max". So, is it a 5 or a 1 page limit?	
Q37. We would like to change our prime recipient from one team member to another. Please advise if is any issue with this as we proceed with our full application submission	
Q38. On page 38 of the FOA a maximum of 2 pages is listed to discuss technology to market strategy technical volume, while the required technical volume says 5 pages are allocated for this section. Can please confirm which page limit should be followed?	you
Q39.A In a project team if a domestic educational institution is not performing at least 80% of the work our cost share portion be at least 5% and our subrecipients who are large business must provide 20% total project cost? This would then make the total cost share 25% of the total project cost	of the
Q39.B If there are 3 subrecipients are they all required to provide 20% or can the total cost share amo their contribution equal 20% of the total budget?	
Q40. Can you please define "substantially" in terms of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement?	11
Q41. The FOA requests references to be identified in the proposal but doesn't state exactly how ARPA wishes to have references included in the applications. Can applicants submit an appendix document the application that includes all the references?	with



	Q42. The required documents checklist immediately following the table of contents in the FOA states that the maximum page count for technology-to-market strategy is 2 pages. The technical volume template states that the maximum page count for technology-to-market strategy is 5 pages. What is the correct maximum page count for the technology-to-market section?	1
٧	II. Questions for week ending: May 10, 20131	2
	Q43. Has a date been established for 2nd round of questions?1	2
	Q44.A On page 44, the FOA states that if an applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, a field work proposal is required. Is this in addition to the business assurances, or will the field work proposal serve in lieu of the business assurances form if the applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC?	2
	Q44.B The FOA states the applicant may request a waiver of the foreign work requirement using the business assurances form. I do not see any specific language on the Business Assurances Form requesting a waiver for work by foreign entities that must be performed by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the U.S. (including U.S. territories). Does the Business Assurances Form have a specific section to make this request or is there any specific language to be included on the Business Assurances Form for this requirement?	•
	Q45.A. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution and is planning on forming a project team does the lead team (educational institution) have to perform 80% of the work?	3
	Q45.B. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution and they are not providing 80% of the work, is the required cost share portion then reduced to 5%?	
	Q45.C. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution, and they are not performing 80% of the work is the required cost share portion then reduced to 5% even though their subs are not non-profits?	
	Q45.D. If there are 3 subrecipients are they all required to provide 20% of the total budget or is it 20% of their portion of the budget?	3
	Q46. Since Proof-of-Concept seeding projects focus on early stage, Proof-of-Concept level R&D effort, TT&O activities are mostly likely not involved. Does the mandate 5% TT&O costs still apply to the seeding projects?	3
I)	Questions for week ending: May 17, 20131	4
	Q47. Are we allowed to include letters of support (i.e. from unfunded collaborators) and if so, where does it go and does it count towards the page limit?1	
	Q48. In the solicitation it states the following: "project teams where domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the total project cost) are required to provide at least 10% of the total project cost as cost share. However, any entity (such as a large business) receiving patent rights under a class waiver, or other patent waiver, that is part of a project team receiving this reduction must continue to meet the statutory minimum cost share requirement (20%) for its portion of the total project cost is the educational institution required to provide 10% of the total project cost or is it 10% of their portion of the total budget?	t.
	Q49. We have recently come across your funding opportunity: DE-FOA-0000869: Robust Affordable Next Generation Ev-storage (range). I am aware that the "Concept Paper submission" deadline has passed but if ARPA-E has interest in our idea, we were wondering whether the deadline could be extended?	
	Q50. Will ARPA-E provide the complete review comments on our concept paper?	5



X	Questions for week ending: May 24, 2013	15
	Q51. If we do not plan to request a waiver regarding the US manufacturing requirement should we still respond to questions 7a through 7f?	15
	Q52. Are the subrecipents required to submit a business assurance form and other sources of funding disclosure?	. 15
	Q53. After submitting the concept paper, for the full proposal: are we allowed to change from technology development project to proof-of-concept seedling project?	
	Q54. Are licensing costs between team members considered part of project cost and therefore can be considered TT&O cost. Or are those cost not considered associated with project cost?	. 15
	Q55. Doe ARPA-e allow fee as part of the project cost? Our industrial partner has worked with DOD in th past and DOD allowed up to 5% fee.	
	Q56. Our proposal will be one year start date 11/1/2013 end date 10/31/14. Does year 1 on budget justification represent fiscal year? If so then our budget would be 11 months in year 1 and (1) month in year. Do you agree?	
X	. Questions for week ending: May 30, 2013	. 16
	Q57. Regarding the referenced FOA, should the following forms be actually signed and then those signed pages be scanned to a PDF file, which is inserted into the referenced form file	
	This question is being asked for the following forms	. 16
	Application for Federal Assistance SF-424	. 16
	Business Assurances Form	. 16
	Other sources of Funding Disclosure Form	. 16
	Q58. I have a question regarding the total amount of a project budget for a range proof-of-concept seedli project: Does the \$1 million maximum budget refer to the entire project cost, including cost share, or does refer to the amount we may request from the DOE?	s it
	Q59. For completing the budget of our ARPA-e proposal (range) and submitted via a University, we were wondering whether it is possible to have the funding of one of our partners on the proposal to go via a different institution. The idea is similar to a joint-proposal setup as done in NSF so that our partner's share the award is funded via a 501(c)(3) nonprofit research organization, having less overhead then when funding a sub contract and subjected to a University's overhead.	e of ded





I. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS:

Q1. If I have questions about this funding announcement, who do I contact?

ANSWER: Please see the FOA guidance on submitting FOA content questions and response publication. Applicants may submit questions regarding this ARPA-E's Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>. All emails must include the FOA name and number in the subject line. The cover page and Executive Summary of the Funding Opportunity Announcement state the deadlines for submitting questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>.

Q2. How will I receive a response to questions submitted to <u>ARPA-E-co@hq.doe.gov</u> about this FOA?

ANSWER: Responses are posted in the "Frequently Asked Questions" section of ARPA-E's website. There are general FAQs and a FAQ page for each FOA.

ARPA-E will post responses on a weekly basis to any questions that are received.

ARPA-E will cease to accept questions approximately 5 business days in advance of each submission deadline. Responses to questions received before the cutoff will be posted approximately one business day in advance of the submission deadline. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

Q3. Will ARPA-E post a response to every question submitted to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov?

ANSWER: No. ARPA-E will only post responses to questions that have not already been addressed by a published FAQ. Also, ARPA-E may consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

Q4. If I have questions about ARPA-E exchange, who do I contact?

ANSWER: Applicants may submit questions regarding ARPA-E's online application portal, ARPA-E eXCHANGE, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov. All emails must include the name and number of the Funding Opportunity Announcement in the subject line.

Q5. Can I speak or meet with the ARPA-E program director or other ARPA-E personnel about this funding opportunity announcement?

ANSWER: No. Upon the issuance of this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA), ARPA-E Programs and other ARPA-E personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with Applicants, or potential Applicants, regarding the FOA. This "quiet period" remains in effect until ARPA-E's public announcement of its project selections. During the "quiet period," Applicants may submit questions regarding the FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov with the FOA name and number in the subject line. Applicants may also submit questions regarding ARPA-E's online application portal, ARPA-E eXCHANGE, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov with the FOA name and number in the subject line. ARPA-E will not accept or respond to communications received by other means (e.g., fax, telephone, mail, hand delivery). Emails sent to other email addresses will be disregarded.

Q6. Can a person be PI on one proposal and a co-PI on a second separate proposal?

ANSWER: Yes, but the applications must be scientifically distinct from one another.

Q7. May applicants submit more than one concept paper to this funding opportunity?

ANSWER: Yes, but each Concept Paper submission must be scientifically distinct.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q8. I have developed a technology that may be a good fit for this funding opportunity. Will ARPA-E please review the attached project information and let me know if I should make a submission to this funding opportunity.

ANSWER: No. Applicants must review the Technical Requirements of this funding opportunity to determine if their technology warrants a submission to ARPA-E.

- II. Questions for week ending: FEBRUARY 22, 2013
 - Q9. Who will be the ARPA-E program manager for RANGE?

ANSWER: See Section VII entitled "Agency Contacts" of the FOA. Accordingly, the requested name of the ARPA-E Program Manager for RANGE will not be publically disclosed or made available until the completion of award negotiations.

Q10. I have a project that addresses both of ARPA-E's two mission areas, but does not correlate as strongly with the FOA's stated first and second objectives. Could a seedling project that supports ARPA-E's mission statement be funded, even if it is not strongly correlated to the stated objectives of this particular FOA?

ANSWER: ARPA-E will not provide a pre-submission assessment of an applicant's technology or proposed project. Applicants must review the Technical Requirements of this funding opportunity to determine if their technology warrants a submission to ARPA-E.

- Q11. The range funding opportunity is directed toward the development of a propulsion system-not just the battery source. It does, however limit the response to electric vehicles--and omits the
 opportunity for other propulsion methods.
- A. If I tried to respond to this funding opportunity with a concept document for a propulsion system would it be considered?

ANSWER: A: Please see the answers for Questions 8 and 10.

B. I would very much like to discuss this propulsion system in more detail with you if you can suggest a way that can be done.

ANSWER: B: Please see the answer for Question 5.





Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q12. Our general question is whether ARPA-E has a mechanism or forum or contact list through which our company could make contact with potential bidders to this solicitation with the hope of finding another innovative technology that could further benefit by being married with our technology?

ANSWER: Please see the ARPA-E RFI-0000000 Announcement of Teaming Partner List for Robust Affordable Next Generation Storage (Range) which is posted on the ARPA-E funding opportunity web page (https://ARPA-E-foa.energy.gov/#Foaldf9bc31d4-16ae-489f-9db4-ca977dc67ff5).

Q13. If we were to submit a Concept Paper, would this Concept Paper be shared with others responding to the solicitation creating the potential for future teaming?

ANSWER: No. ARPA-E does not share concept papers with applicants.

Q14. I read with great interest ARPA-E's new FOA, "RANGE". I am writing this to have your feedback on some of our ideas around F-lon and MG-lon batteries to address the topic of this FOA.

ANSWER: Please see the answer for Question 5.





III. Questions for week ending: MARCH 1, 2013

Q15. In regards to funding opportunity no. DE-FOA-0000869, Robust Affordable Next Generation EV Storage, could you please take into consideration the following scope of work: with reliability and durability needs within the DOE, ARPA-E, and for future global vehicle consumers & manufacturers, please consider to expand your current scope of work for research, development, demonstration and deployment of robust affordable next generation EV storage listed in DE-FOA-0000869 to include off-road vehicles and vocational trucks.

ANSWER: Applicants must review the Technical Requirements of this funding opportunity to determine if a particular concept is within the scope of the FOA. Please see Sections I.C, I.D, I.E, and I.F of the FOA.

Q16. My team and I would like to submit an application for ARPA-E. We would like to propose a new type of energy storage device. Would this type of technology meet the funding requirements?

ANSWER: Please questions 8 and 10 above

Q17. On page 29 the FOA states: "... the prime recipient must provide at least 20% of the total project cost as cost share, except as provided in sections III.b.2 or III.b.3 below." Assuming the listed exceptions do not apply, is it acceptable for subtier project team members (i.e. Not the prime recipient) to provide part or all of the cost share, or must the entire 20% come from the prime recipient alone?

ANSWER: As stated in Section III.B.5, each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met. Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligations assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q18. Regarding the RANGE FOA, is it possible to still add an entry to the teaming partner list?

ANSWER: Yes. The RANGE Teaming Partner List is updated on a weekly basis. Please see the ARPA-E RFI-0000000 Announcement of Teaming Partner List for Robust Affordable Next Generation Storage (Range) which is posted on the ARPA-E funding opportunity web page (https://ARPA-E-foa.energy.gov/#Foaldf9bc31d4-16ae-489f-9db4-ca977dc67ff5).

- IV. Questions for week ending: MARCH 8, 2013
 - Q19. Can you please respond to the following questions?
 - A. Are fuel cells acceptable architectural elements?
 - B. For example if hydrogen for the fuel cells comes from electrolysis of water, does this qualify as a "electrically rechargeable fuel cell" per page 13 of the FOA?
 - C. Electrical double-layer capacitors (ultra-caps) are not mentioned. They have some, but not all, of the safety properties sought. If used as part of an architecture are they acceptable?
 - D. Is a prototype expected?

ANSWER: Q19.A: Yes.

Q19.B: The FOA focuses on onboard electrically rechargeable systems.

Q19.C: Yes.

Q19.D: Please refer to Section 1.E. of the FOA.

V. Questions for week ending: MARCH 15, 2013

Q20. I have an energy storage project that stores electromechanical energy and is superior to electrochemical storage. Can I utilize this funding opportunity?

ANSWER: Please see the answers for Questions 8 and 10.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q21. Would ARPA-E be interested in ******? Compared to Li-ion battery, these two battery technologies have much higher energy density but there is still a long way to go before they can meet DOE targets.

ANSWER: Please see the answers for Questions 8 and 10.

Q22. We are preparing a Concept Paper for the RANGE FOA. In the template, there is a box for a control number, we would appreciate to know what number is this box referring to. Is it the FOA no (DE-FOA-0000869)? Or an institution related number.

ANSWER: Upon creation of an application submission in ARPA-E Exchange (http://ARPA-E-FOA.Energy.gov), Applicants will be assigned a Control Number. ARPA-E requires Applicants to prominently display the Control Number on the upper right corner of the header of every page of a Concept Paper, within the box provided in the template. Please see Section IV.C (Content and Form of Concept Papers) and Section IV.H.1 (Use of ARPA-E eXCHANGE) of the FOA.

ANSWER: Please see the answers for Questions 8 and 10.

Q24. Is the ARPA-E RANGE program accepting applications for performing the proof-of-concept work for a possibly transformational technology in the area of energy storage, like **************?

ANSWER: Please see answers to Questions 8 and 10.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q25. I want to edit and correct typos in our entry in the range teaming list. Please let me know how this can be done.

ANSWER: Please e-mail your corrections to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u> (with the FOA name and number in subject line).

Q26. Is there a comprehensive checklist that we can follow to submit a Concept Paper?

ANSWER: Please see the "Required Documents Checklist" on page 1 of the FOA. ARPA-E does not provide a comprehensive checklist for Applicants. Applicants should carefully review Section IV (Application and Submission Information) for details on the Concept Paper submission process.

Q27. Do the prime recipient's team members need to be identified explicitly by the concept paper deadline of March 21?

ANSWER: No. However, as specified by the compliant criteria in Section III.C of the FOA, Concept Papers will not be deemed compliant unless the Applicant meets the eligibility requirements in Section III.A of the FOA. In addition, please see Section IV.C (Content and Form of Concept Papers) of the FOA.

Q28. Can new team members be added between the Concept Paper deadline and the Full Application deadline?

ANSWER: Yes.

Q29. In the FOA, it was mentioned that robust design architectures are included in the scope of Category 1. We have developed an energy storage system **** would this approach be considered within category 1?

ANSWER: Please see the answer for Question 15.





Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q30. I had the following questions regarding the ARPA-E RANGE project:

Q30.A. HOW IMPORTANT ARE THE TEAM PARTNERS INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT?

Q30.B. WHAT TRL NEEDS TO BE THE PROJECT?

Q30.C. CAN THE CONCEPT PAPER INCLUDE PERSONNEL AND FINANCIAL DATA?

Q30.D IN WHAT FUNDING CATEGORIES CAN MY PROJECT **** BE AWARDED IN: PROOF-OF-

CONCEPT SEEDLING PROJECT OR TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT?

ANSWER: Q30.A. Please Section V.A.1 (Criteria for Concept Papers) of the FOA.

Q30.B. ARPA-E does not specify a TRL-Level, as provided in Section I.A (Agency Overview), ARPA-E seeks transformational energy projects.

Q30.C. Please Section IV.C (Content and Form of Concept Papers) of the

FOA.

Q30.D. Please see the answers for Questions 8 and 10.

Q31. We are not sure what you are looking for relative to the funds and costs tab below. While we understand that a 20% cost share is required for the full application, our understanding was that a specific budget number was not needed for the concept paper submission. The ARPA-E exchange tool is not allowing us to submit our concept paper without populating the specific amount of our project contribution. Any guidance you can provide is appreciated.

ANSWER: Applicants provide a "rough" cost estimate in ARPA-E eXCHANGE. Applicants may modify such cost estimates during the Full Application phase of the RANGE FOA.

Q32. How may I request a reduced cost share in ARPA-E eXCHANGE?

ANSWER: To request a reduced cost share pursuant to the terms of the FOA, Applicants must check the certification check box at the bottom of the "Funds and Cost" tab within ARPA-E Exchange (http://ARPA-E-FOA.Energy.gov).



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

VI. Questions for week ending: APRIL 26, 2013

Q33. I am wondering if you can provide us with comments from individual reviewers on our Concept Paper?

ANSWER: ARPA-E provides Applicants with summary feedback on Concept Papers, based in part on reviewer comments, in order to guide the further development of their proposed technology.

Q34. On page 20 of the FOA (Mod. 1) it states "Proof-of-Concept seedlings are projects which both range between \$250,000 and \$1,000,000 and have a period of performance of no more than a year." Are these dollar numbers meant to refer to the ARPA-E award amount or the total project cost (i.e. Award + Cost Share)?

ANSWER: The dollar thresholds established in Section II.A (Award Overview) of the FOA refers to the total amount of Federal funding that ARPA-E may issue to an Awardee. This threshold does not include an Awardee's required cost share amount, as explained in Section III.B (Cost Sharing) of the FOA.

Q35. We missed the concept paper submission deadline. Can we still submit a concept paper for consideration?

ANSWER: No. Once the Concept Paper Submission deadline has passed the ARPA-E Exchange system will not allow the creation of, or submission of any new Concept Papers. In addition, an Applicant must successfully submit a Concept Paper in the ARPA-E Exchange system to be eligible to create and submit a Full Application for this funding opportunity. Please see Full Application compliant criteria detailed in Section III.C.1 (Compliant Criteria) of the FOA.

VII. Questions for week ending: MAY 3, 2013

Q36.A. There is a 20 page limit on R&D strategy. Does this limit include text, figures and references? Can I list references in appendices to the R&D strategy section or to the complete proposal instead?

Q36.B. The required documents checklist on P.1 states "Statement of Project Objectives (5 pages max.)" Whereas on P.38 is says "1 page max". So, is it a 5 or a 1 page limit?



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

ANSWER: Q 36.A: Yes. The 20 page limit includes text, figures, and references. Applicants should present supporting references, data, calculations, estimates, and/or projections in the R&D Strategy section, and not include appendices. Please see Section IV.D.1 (Content and Form of Full Applications – First Component: Technical Volume) of the FOA.

Q 36.B: On May 3, 2013, ARPA-E posted a modification to the RANGE FOA which clarified that the page limit for the Statement of Project Objectives is 1 page. Please visit ARPA-E eXCHANGE (https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov) to access the modified FOA.

Q37. We would like to change our prime recipient from one team member to another. Please advise if there is any issue with this as we proceed with our full application submission.

ANSWER: Yes. Applicants may expand or otherwise modify the proposed Project Team for their Full Applications.

Q38. On page 38 of the FOA a maximum of 2 pages is listed to discuss technology to market strategy in the technical volume, while the required technical volume says 5 pages are allocated for this section. Can you please confirm which page limit should be followed?

ANSWER: Please see Section IV.D.1 (Content and Form of Full Applications – First Component: Technical Volume) of the FOA. Applicants must limit the Technology-to-Market Strategy to two pages.

Q39.A In a project team if a domestic educational institution is <u>not</u> performing at least 80% of the work can our cost share portion be at least 5% and our subrecipients who are large business must provide 20% of the total project cost? This would then make the total cost share 25% of the total project cost.

Q39.B If there are 3 subrecipients are they all required to provide 20% or can the total cost share amount of their contribution equal 20% of the total budget?

ANSWER: Q 39.A: As stated in Section III.B.5 (Cost Share Allocation) of the FOA, each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met. Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligations assumed by Project Team members in sub-awards or related agreements.

Q39.B: Please see III.B.5 (Cost Share Allocation) of the FOA. The cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, not individual team members. Therefore, each Project Team is free to determine how much each Project Team member will contribute towards the total cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

Q40. Can you please define "substantially" in terms of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement?

ANSWER: The term "substantially" in terms of the U.S. Manufacturing Requirement is not defined in law or regulation. Thus, this is a policy determination, dependent on the circumstances of each award.

Q41. The FOA requests references to be identified in the proposal but doesn't state exactly how ARPA-E wishes to have references included in the applications. Can applicants submit an appendix document with the application that includes all the references?

ANSWER: Please see the answer for Question 36.A.

Q42. The required documents checklist immediately following the table of contents in the FOA states that the maximum page count for technology-to-market strategy is 2 pages. The technical volume template states that the maximum page count for technology-to-market strategy is 5 pages. What is the correct maximum page count for the technology-to-market section?

ANSWER: The correct maximum page count for the technology-to-market section of the technical volume is 2 pages. The technical volume template available on ARPA-E eXCHANGE has been updated to reflect this correction. Please visit https://arpa-e-foa.energy.gov to access the updated technical volume.





Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

VIII. Questions for week ending: MAY 10, 2013

Q43. Has a date been established for 2nd round of questions?

ANSWER: For a complete listing of deadlines, please see the cover page of the RANGE FOA. The deadline to submit questions for the Full Application phase is 5PM ET, May 30, 2013.

Q44.A On page 44, the FOA states that if an applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC, a field work proposal is required. Is this in addition to the business assurances, or will the field work proposal serve in lieu of the business assurances form if the applicant is a DOE/NNSA FFRDC?

Q44.B The FOA states the applicant may request a waiver of the foreign work requirement using the business assurances form. I do not see any specific language on the Business Assurances Form requesting a waiver for work by foreign entities that must be performed by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the U.S. (including U.S. territories). Does the Business Assurances Form have a specific section to make this request or is there any specific language to be included on the Business Assurances Form for this requirement?

ANSWER: Q 44.A: The field work proposal is submitted as a part of the Business Assurances Form. The field work proposal should not be submitted in replacement of the Business Assurances Form.

Q 44.B: A waiver of the foreign work requirement is necessary if an Applicant wants to perform work outside of the United States. To request a waiver, Applicants must make the request in Section 4 of the Business Assurances Form.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q45.A. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution and is planning on forming a project team does the lead team (educational institution) have to perform 80% of the work?

Q45.B. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution and they are <u>not</u> providing 80% of the work, is the required cost share portion then reduced to 5%?

Q45.C. If the project lead is a domestic educational institution, and they are <u>not</u> performing 80% of the work, is the required cost share portion then reduced to 5% even though their subs are <u>not</u> non-profits?

Q45.D. If there are 3 subrecipients are they all required to provide 20% of the total budget or is it 20% of their portion of the budget?

ANSWER: Q45.A: No.

Q45.B: No. Project Teams where domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100% of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the Total Project Cost) are required to provide at least 10% of the Total Project Cost as cost share. Please see Section III.B.3 of the FOA (Reduced Cost Share Requirement).

Q45.C: No. Please see Section III.B.3 of the FOA (Reduced Cost Share Requirement) and the answer for Question 45.B. above.

Q45.D: Please see the answers for Question 39.A and 39.B.

Q46. Since Proof-of-Concept seeding projects focus on early stage, Proof-of-Concept level R&D effort, TT&O activities are mostly likely not involved. Does the mandate 5% TT&O costs still apply to the seeding projects?

ANSWER: Yes. Every Project Team must spend at least 5% of the Federal funding (i.e., the portion of the award that does not include the recipient's cost share) provided by ARPA-E on TT&O activities. Please see Section IV.G.8 of the FOA (Technology Transfer and Outreach).





IX. Questions for week ending: MAY 17, 2013

Q47. Are we allowed to include letters of support (i.e. from unfunded collaborators) and if so, where does it go and does it count towards the page limit?

ANSWER: Letters of support may be included in the Technical Volume of the Full Application. Such letters will count towards the page limit applicable for each section of the Technical Volume. For information on page limits, please see Section IV.D.1 (First Component: Technical Volume) of the FOA. Please be advised that ARPA-E will not consider letters of support during the Full Application selection and review process.

Q48. In the solicitation it states the following: "project teams where domestic educational institutions, domestic nonprofits, and/or FFRDCs perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work under the funding agreement (as measured by the total project cost) are required to provide at least 10% of the total project cost as cost share. However, any entity (such as a large business) receiving patent rights under a class waiver, or other patent waiver, that is part of a project team receiving this reduction must continue to meet the statutory minimum cost share requirement (20%) for its portion of the total project cost. Is the educational institution required to provide 10% of the total project cost or is it 10% of their portion of the total budget?

ANSWER: In a situation where a Project Team includes a domestic educational institution that will perform greater than or equal to 80%, but less than 100%, of the total work (as measured by total project cost); the Project Team must provide at least 10% of the total project cost as cost share. (The Project Team's cost share is not based on the educational institution's portion of the total budget). However, a large business receiving a patent class waiver (part of the Project Team) that performs 20% or less of the work, must provide at least 20% cost share for its portion of the total project cost. Please see Section III.B.3 (Reduced Cost Share Requirement) of the FOA.

Q49. We have recently come across your funding opportunity: DE-FOA-0000869: Robust Affordable Next Generation Ev-storage (range). I am aware that the "Concept Paper submission" deadline has passed but if ARPA-E has interest in our idea, we were wondering whether the deadline could be extended?

ANSWER: ARPA-E cannot extend the DE-FOA-0000869 Concept Paper submission deadline or accept any new Concept Papers after the submission deadline. Applicants must have submitted a Concept Paper in ARPA-E Exchange to be eligible to submit a Full Application. Please see Section III.C.1 (Compliant Criteria for Full Applications) of the FOA.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

Q50. Will ARPA-E provide the complete review comments on our concept paper?

ANSWER: ARPA-E provides Applicants with summary feedback on Concept Papers, based in part on reviewer comments.

X. Questions for week ending: MAY 24, 2013

Q51. If we do not plan to request a waiver regarding the US manufacturing requirement should we still respond to questions 7a through 7f?

ANSWER: No.

Q52. Are the subrecipents required to submit a business assurance form and other sources of funding disclosure?

ANSWER: Only the proposed Prime Recipient is required to submit a Business Assurances Form and Other Sources of Funding Form.

Q53. After submitting the concept paper, for the full proposal: are we allowed to change from technology development project to proof-of-concept seedling project?

ANSWER: Yes.

Q54. Are licensing costs between team members considered part of project cost and therefore can be considered TT&O cost. Or are those cost not considered associated with project cost?

ANSWER: Since ARPA-E awards are for research, "licensing costs" between team members are not considered part of project cost.

Q55. Doe ARPA-e allow fee as part of the project cost? Our industrial partner has worked with DOD in the past and DOD allowed up to 5% fee.

ANSWER: No, fee is not allowed as part of the project cost.





Q56. Our proposal will be one year start date 11/1/2013 end date 10/31/14. Does year 1 on budget justification represent fiscal year? If so then our budget would be 11 months in year 1 and (1) month in year 2. Do you agree?

ANSWER: In this context, the year refers to calendar year, not a fiscal year.

XI. Questions for week ending: MAY 30, 2013

Q57. Regarding the referenced FOA, should the following forms be actually signed and then those signed pages be scanned to a PDF file, which is inserted into the referenced form file.

This question is being asked for the following forms

- Application for Federal Assistance SF-424
- Business Assurances Form
- Other sources of Funding Disclosure Form ANSWER: Yes.

Q58. I have a question regarding the total amount of a project budget for a range proof-of-concept seedling project: Does the \$1 million maximum budget refer to the entire project cost, including cost share, or does it refer to the amount we may request from the DOE?

ANSWER: The \$1 million maximum budget refers to the funding amount that may be requested from ARPA-E.

Q59. For completing the budget of our ARPA-e proposal (range) and submitted via a University, we were wondering whether it is possible to have the funding of one of our partners on the proposal to go via a different institution. The idea is similar to a joint-proposal setup as done in NSF so that our partner's share of the award is funded via a 501(c)(3) nonprofit research organization, having less overhead then when funded via a sub contract and subjected to a University's overhead.

ANSWER: Total federal funds are provided under the award document only to the prime recipient. Reimbursement to its project team members is solely at the prime recipient's discretion.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov