

Production Priorities Group: Key Decisions

	Nitrogen (N)	Water (capture, use, irrigation)	Genetics	Crop Protection (insects, disease and weeds)
Timing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weekly intervals at minimum, all year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weekly intervals at minimum, all year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 year in advance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Throughout growing season
Data Required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weather forecast (3-5 months out) Plant N N production in soil Soil texture Soil organic matter Manure profile N losses - leaching, denitrification, volatilization N in tile line Temperature 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infiltration Plant water use efficiency Soil water-holding capacity Soil structure at depth Root depth Evapotranspiration Water in tile line Canopy temperature Microbial activity (CO₂) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Historical yield Accurate, high-resolution soil maps 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number, distribution of organisms Epidemiology; outbreak prediction Weather patterns

Abiotic Sensors & Platforms Group

- ▶ We need to match the frequency of actionable decisions with the frequency of measurements from a sensor suite. However, the frequency of intervention should not be considered to be a solved problem, and may merit re-investigation as part of this system.
- ▶ The farm is very permissive from a system packaging perspective – a sensing package the size of a seed is reasonable, as is something the size of a brick. Power considerations at these scale become very tractable.
- ▶ The determination of proximal, in-plant, or remote sensing is not yet understood, and it is unclear what form factor of sensors and host platforms is necessary. It is suspected that a combination is necessary, with tipping and cueing from coarser systems such as satellite data.

Biotic Sensors & Platforms Group

- ▶ Multi-modal sensor networks, possibly utilizing plant biosensors, UAVs, stationary wireless sensors, etc., could be more powerful and able to better measure directly key phenotypes than single types of sensors.
- ▶ Most sensor networks will have to be customized for specific crops and traits of interest, though crop modeling may allow them to provide general insight across similar plants.
- ▶ Researchers should identify surrogate measurements for a phenotype that are easy to quantify in the field.
- ▶ Utilizing the plant itself as a sensor is a promising approach, such as genetically engineering the plant with a reporter to a specific stressor to act as a sentinel, that could then be read out using a simpler sensor.
- ▶ “A bad sensor nearby is always better than a good one far away.”

Decision Support Group

- ▶ Data collection according to ontologies to ease integration
- ▶ High quality management data is not available, most other variables like plant growth, weather, harvest, can be obtained
- ▶ It would be valuable to develop methods for data masking / anonymization of agricultural data
- ▶ The highest demand decision is crop and varietal selection
- ▶ Need seasonal forecasts to make this decision

- ▶ Recommendation : build an open-source, freely available gold standard dataset

- ▶ “Most people treat machine learning like a toaster”

Breakout Session 2

What does an in-field sensor network look like?

Format:

- ▶ Smaller groups to design straw model system (30 min)
- ▶ Subgroup readout (30 min)
- ▶ Discussion; optional prompts for data impacts (30 min)

System Design Criteria:

- ▶ Covering a minimum of 200 hectares
- ▶ 10+ parameters
- ▶ Thousands of individual nodes – 1-10 m resolution contingent upon phenotyping need
- ▶ Minimum lifetime: 1 year
- ▶ Prescriptive or predictive output
- ▶ Maximum downtime 10% - node failure cannot result in system failure
- ▶ Must have an actionable output (e.g. prediction, prescription) or actuation (e.g. irrigation)