

Impact of advanced manufacturing and materials on fusion power plant costs

S. Woodruff¹, M. Zarnstorff²

¹ Woodruff Scientific Inc, 2778 Agua Fria Street, Santa Fe, NM 87507 ² Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 100 Stellarator Road, Princeton, NJ 08540

Acknowledge support from ARPA-E BETHE Program. Work at Woodruff Scientific performed under Purchase Order PO230181-Y



Outline

- Background and what influences the discussion
- Methods for costing
- Example 1: Advanced materials
- Example 2: Additive manufacturing
- Example 3: Workflow automation
- Discussion
- Summary

All fusion concepts under ARPA-E



- We are building on **Woodruff**'s 2017 Study (with Bechtel), 2019 Study (with Lucid Catalyst) and 2021 Study (extension to all ARPA-E supported teams) [1]
- Developed a 'standardized costing approach' and worked with international partners

We have performed cost analysis for all the systems depicted - supported by US DOE



[1] <u>https://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/Day2_1535_WS_Woodruff.pdf;</u> <u>https://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2022-05/330_Zarnstorff.pdf</u> Our costing reports are now auto-generated

Power balance	3
Cost Categories, bases and assumptions.	5
2.1 LAND AND LAND RIGHTS Cost Category 20	5
2.2 STRUCTURES AND SITE FACILITIES Cost Category 21	5
2.3 REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT Cost Category 22	5
2.3.1 REACTOR COMPONENTS Cost Category 22.1	5
2.3.2 ASSEMBLY AND INSTALLATION COSTS Cost Category 22.1.11	10
2.3.3 MAIN AND SECONDARY COOLANT Cost Category 22.2	11
2.3.4 AUXILIARY COOLING SYSTEMS Cost Category 22.3	11
2.3.5 RADIOACTIVE WASTE TREATMENT Cost Category 22.4	12
2.3.6 FUEL HANDLING AND STORAGE Cost Category 22.5	12
2.3.7 OTHER REACTOR PLANT FOUIPMENT Cost Category 22.6	12
2.3.8 INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL Cost Category 22.7	12
2.4 TURBINE PLANT FOUIPMENT Cost Category 23	12
2.5 ELECTRIC PLANT FOUPMENT Cost Category 24	14
2.6 MISCELLANEOUS PLANT EQUIPMENT Cost Category 25	14
2.7 HEAT REJECTION Cost Category 26	1
28 SPECIAL MATERIALS Cost Category 27	14
2.9 TOTAL DIRECT COSTS Cost Classory 90	11
2.10 CONSTRUCTION SERVICES AND EQUIPMENT Cost Category 91	15
2 11 HOME OFFICE ENCINEERING AND SERVICES Cost Category 92	12
2.12 FIELD OFFICE ENGINEERING AND SERVICES Cost Category 93	15
112 OWNERS COST Cost Cutorory 94	15
2.14 PROJECT CONTRICENCY Cat Category 96	15
2.15 INTEREST DURING CONSTRUCTION Cost Category 97	15
2.16 EXCALATION DUDING CONSTRUCTION Cost Category 98	15
2.17 TOTAL CAPITAL COST Cost Category 99 .	15
COST OF ELECTRICITY	15
3.1 Annual Capital Cost Charge.	13
3.2 Annual Operations and Maintenance Cost	13
3.3 Annual Scheduled Replacement Cost	15
3.4 Annual Fuel Cost	15
3.5 Decontamination and Decommissioning Allowance	16
3.6 Waste Disposal Costs	16
3.7 Cost of Electricity	16
Cost Accounting Structure	17
Cost sensitivity analysis	18
Dib R - man has	10



Costing 101

• Total Capital Cost (TCC) of power core:

 $TCC = \sum_{i} M_{i} \times C_{i} \times f_{M}$

Where M_i is the mass of the subassy in kg and C_i is a cost per kg of the subassy and f_M is the manufacturing factor, and the summation occurs over the entire assy.

- 1000 tonnes, \$10Bn
- 1-10 tonnes, \$10-100M





Big

Small

Costing 101



$LCOE = (C_{AC} + (C_{OM} + C_{SCR} + C_{CF})^{*}(1+y)^{Y}) / (8760^{*}P_{E}^{*}p_{f}) + C_{DD}$

Where C_{AC} [\$/yr] is the annual capital cost charge (entailing the total capital cost of the plant), C_{OM} [\$/yr] is the annual operations and maintenance cost, C_{SCR} [\$/yr] is the annual scheduled component replacement costs, C_F [\$/yr] is the annual fuel costs, y is the annual fractional increase in costs due to inflation over the expected lifetime of the plant Y [years], P_E [MWe] is the electric power of the plant, p_f is the plant availability (typically 0.6-0.9) and C_{DD} [mill/kWh] is the decontamination and decommissioning allowance.

Costing 101 impact of materials



$LCOE = (C_{AC} + (C_{OM} + C_{SCR} + C_{CF})^{*}(1+y)^{Y}) / (8760^{*}P_{E}^{*}p_{f}) + C_{DD}$

Where C_{AC} [\$/yr] is the annual capital cost charge (entailing the total capital cost of the plant), C_{OM} [\$/yr] is the annual operations and maintenance cost, C_{SCR} [\$/yr] is the annual scheduler component replacement costs, C_F [\$/yr] is the annual fuel costs, y is the annual fractional increase in costs due to inflation over the expected lifetime of the plant Y [yearz], P_E [MWe] is the electric power of the plant, p is the plant availability (typically 0.6-0.9) and C_{DP} [mill/kWh] is the decontamination and decommissioning allowance.

Capital cost

Maintenance

Scheduled replacement cost - mttf Availability impacted by the maintenance, need to consider RAMIs Activated components will increase this cost!

Example 1: Advanced materials impact C_{AC} , C_{SCR} , p_f and C_{DD}



Lower cost materials lower C_{AC} and C_{SCR} thereby also LCOE

Example: Materials costs are not linked to inflation, and can be volatile \rightarrow

Reliability increases availability therefore lowers LCOE

Example: Austenitic stainless steels are highly resistant to creep at high temperatures, due to their high chromium and nickel content.



source: tradingeconomics.com

After subsidizing battery manufacturers and granting cash rewards to new electric vehicle purchases, the Chinese government halted incentives for the new energy auto sector in January and catalyzed a decline in demand.

https://tradingeconomics.com/commodity/lithium

Example 2: Additive manufacturing impacts M and $\,f_{\rm M}$





CALC4XL costs

Conventional manufacture: \$50000, labor: 35% materials: 65%

WOODRIIFF • SCIENTIFIC

Additive manufacturing: \$15000 labor: 5% materials: 95%

Time to recover sunk costs from retooling: 5-7 years.

Example 3: Workflow tools

~40% cost reduction in the design stages of a system consisting of multiple subsystems [1].

Digital twins or 'simulators' also included in GENIV costing since 2007.

De Weck, Olivier L. "Feasibility of a 5x Speedup in System Development Due to META Design." Volume 2: 32nd Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, Parts A and B (August 12, 2012).

https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/116271/1105 1.pdf?sequence=1&isAll owed=y



WOODRUFF • SCIENTIFIC

Discussion - impact on power plant cost



(an anonymous tokamak example!)

Overall, cost savings through AM and advanced materials could be as large as 70%, if we are able to capture these cost savings in major components, we can reduce **TCC** by 7% or >100M USD.

 \rightarrow possible to consider more subsystems \rightarrow >10%.

Impact on **LCOE** is larger if we can use reduced activation materials, and components require less frequent replacement, so 6.9 c/kWh reduces to 5.7 c/kWh, a 17% reduction.

 \rightarrow possible to optimize \rightarrow >20% reduction.





Summary

Background: ARPA-E fusion costing studies since 2017

Methods for costing: TCC, LCOE, bottoms up

Example 1: advanced materials (impacts on all cost elements)

Example 2: additive manufacturing - costs dramatically impacted (70%)

Example 3: workflow automation and collaboration (50%)

Discussion: impacts on TCC >10%, on LCOE >20% for new materials and manufacturing, possible, need to consider case-by-case